

Mirror symmetry and big algebras

3. Big algebras and real forms

Tamás Hausel

Institute of Science and Technology Austria
<http://hausel.ist.ac.at>

The Hitchin system, Langlands duality and mirror symmetry
ICMAT, Madrid
April 2023

FWF

Der Wissenschaftsfonds.



Institute of
Science and
Technology
Austria

Motivation for the big algebras

- $\mu \in X_*^+(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ highest weight repn. $\rho^\mu : \mathrm{SL}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V^\mu)$
- typically $C^\mu = (S(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes \mathrm{End}(V^\mu))^G$ is not commutative
- Problem: what is the mirror $\mathcal{S}(\rho^\mu(\mathbb{E}_c)) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) \in D^b(\mathbb{M})$?
- $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+})$ is pushforward of the pullback from the Hecke correspondence $\mathbb{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_c^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$
 - $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+})$ a sheaf of algebras
 - \rightsquigarrow its conjectured mirror $\rho^\mu(\mathbb{E}_c)|_{W_0^+}$ also sheaf of algebras
 - \rightsquigarrow need $\mathcal{B}^\mu \subset C^\mu$ *big algebra*, commutative and cyclic
 - $\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^\mu) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^*)$ models $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+})) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$
- Hints for the construction:
 - 1 (Rozhkovskaya 2002) describes explicitly $C_{\omega_1+\omega_2}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$
(Tai 2014) describes explicitly $C_{\mu_{\mathrm{adj}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ for \mathfrak{g} simple
they all contain a maximal cyclic commutative subalgebra
 - 2 the center $Z(C^\mu) \subset C^\mu$
is generated by M -operators of Kirillov
 - 3 reminiscent of Mishchenko-Fomenko integrable systems
maximally Poisson commutative subalgebras in $S(\mathfrak{g})$
generated by iterated derivatives of invariant polynomials

Construction of the big algebra

- $\{X_i\}$ basis for \mathfrak{sl}_n , $\{X^i\}$ dual basis wrt Killing form
- $D : C^\mu \rightarrow C^\mu = (S(\mathfrak{sl}_n^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$ Kirillov's D -operator
- $A \mapsto \sum_i \rho^\mu(X^i) \frac{\partial(A)}{\partial X_i}$
- $c_i \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}_n]^{\text{SL}_n} \cong H_{\text{SL}_n}^*$ invariant polynomial
- $t^n + c_2(a)t^{n-2} + \dots + c_n(a)$ char poly of $a \in \mathfrak{sl}_n$
- $M_{i-1} := D(c_i)$ Kirillov's M -operators *medium operators*
- $\mathcal{M}^\mu := \langle 1_{V^\mu}, M_1, \dots, M_{n-1} \rangle_{H_{\text{SL}_n}^*} \subset C^\mu$ *medium algebra* $\cong Z(C^\mu)$
- $B_{k,i-k} := D^k(c_i) \in C^\mu$ *big operators* of age k and degree $i - k$
- $\mathcal{B}^\mu := \langle 1_{V^\mu}, \{B_{k,i-k}\}_{k,i} \rangle_{H_{\text{SL}_n}^*} \subset C^\mu$ *big algebra* $\supset \mathcal{M}^\mu$

Theorem (Hausel–Zveryk, Hausel 2022)

$\mathcal{B}^\mu \subset C^\mu$ is commutative, cyclic, finite-free / $H_{\text{SL}_n}^*$ and maximal

- proof via a universal big algebra $\mathcal{B} \subset (S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}))^G$ as a Gaudin algebra from (Feigin–Frenkel, 1992)
- cyclicity follows from (Feigin–Frenkel–Rybnikov 2006) in quantization of Mishchenko–Fomenko integrable systems $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu$ is some sort of quantum integrable system

Geometric properties of \mathcal{B}^μ

- $\text{Gr} := \overline{\text{PGL}_n((z))} / \text{PGL}_n[[z]]$ affine Grassmannian of PGL_n
- $\text{Gr}^\mu := \overline{\text{PGL}_n[[z]]z^\mu} \subset \text{Gr}$ affine Schubert; $\text{Gr}^{\omega_k} = \text{Gr}(k, n)$
- (Bezrukavnikov–Finkelberg 2008) describe the graded $H_{\text{PGL}_n}^*$ -algebra $H_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu)$ & $IH_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu)$ as module over it \rightsquigarrow

Corollary (Hausel 2022)

$H_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu) \cong \mathcal{M}^\mu$ as $H_{\text{PGL}_n}^*$ -algebras

$\text{End}_{H_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu)}(IH_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu)) \cong C^\mu$

$IH_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu) \cong \mathcal{B}^\mu$ as \mathcal{M}^μ -modules

\rightsquigarrow (conj. unique) graded $H_{\text{PGL}_n}^*$ -algebra structure on $IH_{\text{PGL}_n}^*(\text{Gr}^\mu)$

Conjecture (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \cong & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^\mu) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathbb{A}^\vee}(\rho^\mu(\mathbb{E}_c^\vee)) \cong \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+) & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(IH_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}^\mu)) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec}(H_{\text{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathbb{A}^\vee & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & \cong & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 & & \cong & &
 \end{array}$$

Finer structure of big algebras

- (Yakimova 2022) \rightsquigarrow construction of \mathcal{B}^μ generalises to other simple G , but explicit generators only in classical types and G_2
- equivariant multiplicity $m^\mu(t) := m(\mathcal{B}^\mu)(t) = P_t(IH^{2*}(\text{Gr}^\mu)) = D^\mu(t) := \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \frac{(1 - t^{\langle \mu + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle})}{(1 - t^{\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle})}$ Dynkin polynomial unimodal
 \Leftarrow principal $\text{SL}_2 \subset G$ or \Leftarrow Hard Lefschetz for $IH^{2*}(\text{Gr}^\mu)$
 $M_1 \in \mathcal{B}^\mu \cong IH_G^{2*}(\text{Gr}^\mu)$ represents the equivariant Kähler class
- $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{M}^\mu) = \bigcup_{X^+ \ni \lambda \leq \mu} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{M}_\lambda^\mu)$ irreducible components.
 $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^\mu \cong H_G^*(G/P_\lambda, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_G^*(\text{Gr}_\mu^\lambda, \mathbb{C})$; $\text{Gr}^\mu = \coprod_{\mu \leq \lambda} \text{Gr}_\lambda^\mu$ BB-dec.
- The multiplicity algebra of $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^\mu \subset \mathcal{B}_\lambda^\mu$ endows $IH^*(W_\lambda^\mu) \cong \mathcal{B}_\lambda^\mu / (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^+}^\mu)$ a graded ring ringifying Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials $IP_t(W_\lambda^\mu)$.
E.g. $IH^*(W_0^\mu) \cong \mathcal{B}_+^\mu / (\mathcal{M}_+^\mu) \rightsquigarrow$
geometrizes (Brylinski 1989)'s limits of weight spaces

Involutions on big algebras

- G complex semisimple; $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ anti-holomorphic involution; G^σ real form; $K \subset G^\sigma$ maximal compact
- examples: σ_c compact; σ_s split real form
- $\theta := \theta_\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ holomorphic *Cartan* involution; $G^\theta \cong K_{\mathbb{C}}$
- (García-Prada, Ramanan 2019) \leadsto holomorphic anti-symplectic involution $\iota : \mathbb{M}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_G$ such that $\mathbb{M}^\iota \cong \coprod_{\sigma' \sim \sigma} \mathbb{M}_{G^{\sigma'}}$ holomorphic Lagrangian
- e.g. $\sigma \sim \sigma_c$ then $\iota_c(E, \Phi) = (E, -\Phi)$
 $\sigma \sim \sigma_s$ then $\iota_s(E, \Phi) = (\theta_s(E), -\theta_s(\Phi))$, induced from $\theta_s : G \rightarrow G$ Chevalley involution.
- Motivating problem: for $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ describe Hitchin map $h_{\mathcal{E}}^\sigma : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \cap \mathbb{M}^\iota \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^l$
Abstract problem: $\mu = \theta(\mu)$ describe $\iota : \mathcal{B}^\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu$ compute $\mathcal{B}_\iota^\mu := \mathcal{B}^\mu / (x - \iota(x))_{x \in \mathcal{B}^\mu}$ coinvariant algebra over $(H_G^*)_\theta \Leftrightarrow$ fixed point scheme $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^\mu)^\iota \cong \text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}_\iota^\mu)$ over $\text{Spec}((H_G^*)_\theta)$
- Observation: $\iota_c = (-1)^{\text{deg}} : \mathcal{B}^\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu$ and $\iota_s = (-1)^{\text{age}} : \mathcal{B}^\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu$ for self-dual $\mu = \theta_s(\mu)$

Algebraic conjectures on involutions

- $\mu = \theta(\mu)$ is *non-degenerate* when \mathcal{B}_l^μ is finite-free over $(H_G^*)_\theta$ in this case *multiplicity* $m_\theta^\mu := \text{rank}_{(H_G^*)_\theta}(\mathcal{B}_l^\mu)$ and *equivariant multiplicity* $m_\theta^\mu(t) = P_t(\mathcal{B}_l^\mu / (H_G^*)_{\theta+})$
- $D_\theta^\mu(t) := \prod_{[\beta] \in (\Delta^+ / \theta)^\circ} \frac{\prod_{\langle \mu + \rho, [\beta^\vee] \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}} (1 - t^{\langle \mu + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle})}{\prod_{\langle \rho, [\beta^\vee] \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}} (1 - t^{\langle \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle})}$

Conjecture (Hausel 2023)

- 1 $\mu = \theta(\mu)$ is *non-degenerate* $\Leftrightarrow D_\theta^\mu(1) \neq 0$ assumed for the rest
 - 2 $m_\theta^\mu = D_\theta^\mu(1) > 0$
 - 3 $\check{\sigma} : \check{G} \rightarrow \check{G}$ *quasi-split* $[\check{\theta}] = [\theta] \in \text{Out}(\check{G}) \cong \text{Out}(G) \rightsquigarrow$
 $\exists \check{G}^\sigma$ -invariant Hermitian form h^μ on V^μ , s.t. $|\text{sig}(h^\mu)| = D_\theta^\mu(1)$
 - 4 $\sigma = \sigma_c$ then $D_\theta^\mu(1) = D^\mu(-1) = \text{sig}(IH^*(\text{Gr}^\mu))$
 - 5 $\sigma = \sigma_s$ then $m_\theta^\mu \leq m_0^\mu$ and $m_\theta^\mu = m_0^\mu \Leftrightarrow \theta_s$ acts trivially on V_0^μ
 - 6 $m_\theta^\mu(t) = D_\theta^\mu(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t]$, *palindromic* $\Leftarrow \mathcal{B}_l^\mu$ Gorenstein, even CI
- (3) \rightsquigarrow (Vogan et al. 2018) and (Karpelevich 1955)
 - (4) \rightsquigarrow (Lusztig-Yun 2013) (5) \rightsquigarrow (Millson-Toledano 2004)

Geometric conjectures on involutions

- $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ quasi-split $\rightsquigarrow \exists H \subset G^\sigma$ maximal split subgroup
 $\rightsquigarrow \exists \tau : G \rightarrow G$ such that $G^{\theta_\tau} \cong H_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow (H_G^*)_{\theta_\tau} \cong H_H^* \cong H_{G^\tau}^*$
 $\rightsquigarrow \theta_\tau : G \rightarrow G$ distinguished (\Leftrightarrow preserves a pinning) call τ *quasi-compact* e.g. when σ split then τ is compact
when σ non-split then $(G, G^{\theta_\tau}) =$
 $(\mathrm{SL}_{2n+1}, \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}), (\mathrm{SL}_{2n}, \mathrm{Sp}_n), (\mathrm{SO}_{2n}, \mathrm{SO}_{2n-1})$ or (E_6, F_4)

Conjecture (Hausel 2023)

- 1 $\mu = \tau(\mu) = \theta(\mu) \rightsquigarrow \tau^* = \iota \in \mathrm{Aut}_2(\mathrm{IH}_G^*(\mathrm{Gr}^\mu)) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_2(\mathcal{B}^\mu)$
 - 2 τ non-compact, μ non-degenerate $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}_\iota^\mu \cong \mathrm{IH}_{G^\tau}^*((\mathrm{Gr}^\mu)^\tau)$ and
 - 3 $(\mathrm{Gr}^\mu)^\tau \subset \mathrm{Gr}^\mu$ Lagrangian $\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{deg}_t(D_{\theta_\tau}^\mu(t)) \leq \mathrm{deg}(D^\mu(t))/2$
- $\tau^* \in \mathrm{Aut}_2(\mathrm{IH}^*(\mathrm{Gr}^\mu)) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_2(V^\mu)$ can be computed from the Geometric-Satake / \mathbb{R} (Richarz–Zhu, 2015) \rightsquigarrow
 $\exists \delta_\tau \in {}^L G \cong \check{G} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Ad}(\delta_\tau) \in \mathrm{Aut}_2(\check{G}) \rightsquigarrow \tau^* \in \mathrm{Aut}_2(V^\mu)$
 - expect $\mathrm{Ad}(\delta_\tau) = \theta_{\check{\sigma}} \in \mathrm{Aut}_2(\check{G})$ quasi-split $\rightsquigarrow |\mathrm{sig}(h^\mu)| = D_\theta^\mu(1)$
 - minuscule μ by (Gonzalez–Hausel, Elkner 2023) in H_G^* of Grassmannians, even spheres and Cayley projective planes

Principal endoscopy and transfer for big algebras

- G complex reductive, $\kappa : G \rightarrow G$ distinguished corresponds to a *folding* of the Dynkin diagram
- endoscopy group $\check{G}_\kappa := \check{G}_0^\kappa$

Conjecture (Hausel 2023)

$$\mu \in X_+^*(\check{G})^\kappa \cong X_+^*(\check{G}_\kappa) \rightsquigarrow \kappa : \mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G}) \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G})_\kappa \cong \mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G}_\kappa)$$

- $\rightsquigarrow \mu \geq \lambda \in X_+^*(\check{G})^\kappa \cong X_+^*(\check{G}_\kappa)$, V^μ irrep of \check{G} , W^μ irrep of $\check{G}_\kappa \rightsquigarrow$
 $\text{tr}(\kappa : V_\lambda^\mu \rightarrow V_\lambda^\mu) = \dim(\mathcal{B}_\lambda^\mu(\check{G})_\kappa) = \dim(\mathcal{B}_\lambda^\mu(\check{G}_\kappa)) = \dim W_\lambda^\mu \rightsquigarrow$
 $\text{tr}(\kappa : V_\lambda^\mu \rightarrow V_\lambda^\mu) = \dim W_\lambda^\mu$ (Jantzen 1973)'s twining formula

- e.g. when $\sigma_c \neq \sigma_s$ then $\kappa = \iota_c \iota_s = (-1)^{\text{deg} + \text{age}}$

Conjecture reflects geometry (García-Prada–Ramanan 2019)
of symplectic involution $\kappa = \iota_c \iota_s \in \text{Aut}_2(\mathbb{M}_G)$

$\mathbb{M}_G^\kappa = \coprod_{\sigma \sim \sigma_s} \mathbb{M}_{G^{\theta_\sigma}}$ hyperkähler \rightsquigarrow

$$(\mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G})_{\iota_s})_{\iota_c} \cong (\mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G})_{\iota_c})_{\iota_s} \cong \mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G}_\kappa)_{\iota_c} \rightsquigarrow D_{\theta_s}^\mu(-1) = D^\mu(\check{G}_\kappa)(-1)$$

also \Leftarrow curious $D_{\theta_c}^\mu(t) D_{\theta_s}^\mu(t) / D^\mu(t) = D_{\theta_c}^\mu(\check{G}_\kappa)(t)^2 / D^\mu(\check{G}_\kappa)(t)$

- for principal $H \subset G$ we expect a transfer $\mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{H})$ e.g.
 $\text{SL}_2 \subset G$ principal: $\text{SL}_2(V) \rightarrow \text{SL}(\text{Sym}^k(V))$, $G_2 \subset \text{SO}_7 \subset \text{SO}_8$

Open directions

- $a \in \mathbb{A}$, $C_a^\mu := \text{Spec}_C(\mathcal{B}^\mu(\mathcal{E}_a)) \subset K^{d_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K^{d_N}$ big spectral curve
(E, Φ) $\in h^{-1}(a) \rightsquigarrow$ rank 1 module / $\mathcal{B}^\mu(\mathcal{E}_a)$, rank 1 sheaf / C_a^μ
Is there a big BNR correspondence?
- If yes \rightsquigarrow could transfer rank 1 $\mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G})(\mathcal{E}_a)$ -module to rank 1 $\mathcal{B}^\mu(\check{G}_k)(\mathcal{E}_a)$ -module \rightsquigarrow endoscopic transfer of Higgs bundles
 $\mathbb{M}_{\check{G}} \supset h_{\check{G}}^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_{\check{G}_k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{\check{G}_k}$, expected as the mirror of $\mathbb{M}_{G^k} \subset \mathbb{M}_G$
- mirror of $\mathbb{M}_{G^\sigma} \subset \mathbb{M}_G$ could be given by transfer of rank 1 $\mathcal{B}^\mu(\mathcal{E}_a)$ module to rank 1 $\mathcal{B}^\mu_l(\mathcal{E}_a)$ -module.
What is a rank 1 $\mathcal{B}^\mu_l(\mathcal{E}_a)$ -module?
- $U(n, n+1)^\vee \cong \text{Sp}_n \subset \text{GL}_{2n+1}$ is not principal \rightsquigarrow there must be a non-trivial line bundle on $\mathbb{M}_{U(n, n+1)} \subset \mathbb{M}_{\text{GL}_{2n+1}}$ so that its mirror is supported on $\mathbb{M}_{\text{Sp}_n} \subset \mathbb{M}_{\text{GL}_{2n+1}}$
- $GCM \rightsquigarrow C^\mu(M) := \text{Maps}(M, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$ Kirillov algebra. Is there big $\mathcal{B}^\mu(M) \subset C^\mu(M)$? $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$ Hamiltonian \rightsquigarrow $\mathcal{B}^\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\mu(M)$. When is it surjective?
- We can embed $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}^\mu) \subset \mathbb{P}(V^\mu) \times \mathfrak{g} // G$. Does \mathcal{B}^μ map to fixed point scheme of any G -orbit closure in $\mathbb{P}(V^\mu)$? Do we have a surjective map $\mathcal{B}^\mu \twoheadrightarrow H_G^*(\overline{G/G^\theta}^{\text{wond}})$?