

Enhanced mirror symmetry for Langlands dual Hitchin systems

arxiv:2112.09455

Tamás Hausel

Institute of Science and Technology Austria
<http://hausel.ist.ac.at>

Algebraic Geometry Section
International Congress of Mathematicians
July 2022

Presented at ETH Zurich with the support of:

Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zürich Foundation

ETH zürich



*Institute for
Theoretical Studies*



**Institute of
Science and
Technology
Austria**

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987)

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992)

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ *Higgs field*

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- \exists hyperkähler metric $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$ moduli flat G -bundles

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- \exists hyperkähler metric $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$ moduli flat G -bundles
- (Hausel-Thaddeus 2003) $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ (Donagi-Pantev 2012) $\forall G$

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- \exists hyperkähler metric $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$ moduli flat G -bundles
- (Hausel-Thaddeus 2003) $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ (Donagi-Pantev 2012) $\forall G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G) & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G^\vee) \\ & \searrow h_G & \swarrow h_{G^\vee} \\ & \mathcal{A}_G \cong \mathcal{A}_{G^\vee} & \end{array}$$

dual special Lagrangian fibrations

SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- C smooth, projective, complex curve
- G complex reductive group; G^\vee its Langlands dual
e.g. $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):
 \mathcal{M}_G moduli space of semi-stable G -Higgs bundles (E, Φ)
 - E principal G -bundle
 - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$ Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$ Hitchin map
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- \exists hyperkähler metric $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$ moduli flat G -bundles
- (Hausel-Thaddeus 2003) $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ (Donagi-Pantev 2012) $\forall G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G) & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G^\vee) \\ & \searrow h_G & \swarrow h_{G^\vee} \\ & \mathcal{A}_G \cong \mathcal{A}_{G^\vee} & \end{array}$$

dual special Lagrangian fibrations \Leftrightarrow SYZ mirror symmetry

Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:

$$E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$$

Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree

Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree
proved for $n = 2, 3$

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree
proved for $n = 2, 3$
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of
coherent sheaves"

Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree
proved for $n = 2, 3$
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013) \rightsquigarrow proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma

Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree
proved for $n = 2, 3$
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013) \rightsquigarrow proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) prove topological mirror symmetry for all n using p -adic integration

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree
proved for $n = 2, 3$
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013) \rightsquigarrow proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) prove topological mirror symmetry for all n using p -adic integration
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) reprove (Ngô 2010)'s geometric stabilisation with p -adic integration

Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$ stringy Hodge numbers agree
proved for $n = 2, 3$
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013) \rightsquigarrow proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) prove topological mirror symmetry for all n using p -adic integration
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) reprove (Ngô 2010)'s geometric stabilisation with p -adic integration
- (Maulik, Shen 2021) prove topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D $N=4$ SUSY Yang-Mills

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong}$

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\sim D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\rightsquigarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

generically as Fourier-Mukai transform

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

generically as Fourier-Mukai transform

$$D_{coh}^b(h_G^{-1}(a)) \stackrel{FM}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(h_G^{-1}(a)^\vee)$$

(Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\sim D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

generically as Fourier-Mukai transform

$$D_{coh}^b(h_G^{-1}(a)) \stackrel{FM}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(h_G^{-1}(a)^\vee) \cong D_{coh}^b(h_{G^\vee}^{-1}(a))$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
 (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_G^v

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
 (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_G^\vee
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
 (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_G^\vee
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_G^\vee
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_G^\vee
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ *Hecke operator*

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_G^\vee
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^v}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v})$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^v}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : \begin{array}{l} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \\ \mathcal{F} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \\ \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c \end{array} \text{ Wilson operator}$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^v}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^v}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c$$

$$c \in C$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$$

$$c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee) \text{ dominant cocharacter}$$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)

$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$

$c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;

$\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)

$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$

$c \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;

$\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;

\mathbb{E}^\vee universal G^\vee -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$$

$c \in \mathbb{C}$; $\mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;

$\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;

\mathbb{E}^\vee universal G^\vee -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$

- intertwine \mathcal{S}

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;
 $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;
 \mathbb{E}^\vee universal G^\vee -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$
- intertwine \mathcal{S} : $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \in X_+^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;
- $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;
- \mathbb{E}^\vee universal G^\vee -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$
- intertwine \mathcal{S} : $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;
- $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;
- \mathbb{E}^\vee universal G^\vee -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathcal{C}$
- intertwine $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c)$

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$ dominant cocharacter;
- $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;
- \mathbb{E}^\vee universal G^\vee -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathcal{C}$
- intertwine $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c)$
- "mirror of universal bundle in irreducible representation = Hecke transformed Hitchin section (= W_0^+)"

Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on (\mathcal{M}_G, ω)
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in \mathcal{M}_{G^V}
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$ Hecke operator (B,A,A)
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V})$ Wilson operator (B,B,B)
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^V)_c$
- $c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^V)$ dominant cocharacter;
 $\rho_\mu : G^V \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$ μ -highest weight representation;
 \mathbb{E}^V universal G^V -bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{G^V} \times \mathcal{C}$
- intertwine \mathcal{S} : $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^V}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V})$:
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^V}})) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^V}})) = \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^V)_c)$
- "mirror of universal bundle in irreducible representation = Hecke transformed Hitchin section (= W_0^+)"
- $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+})$ union of Lagrangian upward flows

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\text{PGL}_n}$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi)$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \\ (E, \Phi) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \\ (E, \Phi) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - ① $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
 $(E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi)$ Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$ Hitchin map
 $(E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi)$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathbb{C} \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda \Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Lagrangian

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathbb{C} \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda \Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda \omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ *very stable* $\Leftrightarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$ closed

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ *very stable* $\Leftrightarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$ closed $\Leftrightarrow h|_{W_{\mathcal{E}}^+} : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ proper

Lagrangian upward flows in \mathcal{M}

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\text{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \text{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \\ (E, \Phi) \end{array} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$$

$$\mapsto \det(x - \Phi)$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathcal{M}$ by $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$; *semiprojective*:
 - 1 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ projective
 - 2 $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$ exists for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$ *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973): $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$ locally closed $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ *very stable* $\Leftrightarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$ closed $\Leftrightarrow h|_{W_{\mathcal{E}}^+} : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ proper
- Motivating Problem: find coordinates s.t.

$$h_{\mathcal{E}} := h|_{W_{\mathcal{E}}^+} : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

becomes explicit!

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^x}$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c))$$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$ is very stable.

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$ is very stable.

- proof by noticing $W_k^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}_k}^+ = \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(W_0^+)$

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} & & & k \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$ is very stable.

- proof by noticing $W_k^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}_k}^+ = \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(W_0^+)$
 ω_k k th fundamental character of SL_n

Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$ companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$ Hitchin section \Rightarrow very stable
- $c \in C$, $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{-k}(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$ is very stable.

- proof by noticing $W_k^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}_k}^+ = \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(W_0^+)$
 ω_k k th fundamental character of SL_n , minuscule

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C})$

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \curvearrowright X$ variety

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \curvearrowright X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- *equivariantly formal* $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C})$

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- equivariantly formal $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over H_G^*

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- equivariantly formal $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free
over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- *equivariantly formal* $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow W_k^+ is modelled on spectrum of PGL_n -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- equivariantly formal $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow W_k^+ is modelled on spectrum of PGL_n -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- *equivariantly formal* $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow W_k^+ is modelled on spectrum of PGL_n -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle;
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient;
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- equivariantly formal $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow W_k^+ is modelled on spectrum of PGL_n -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$ affine Schubert

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle; $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient; $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- *equivariantly formal* $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow W_k^+ is modelled on spectrum of PGL_n -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$ affine Schubert
- \leadsto multiplicity algebra $\mathbb{C}[h_k^{-1}(0)] \cong H^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$

Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- G complex reductive; $EG \rightarrow BG$ universal principal G -bundle; $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$ variety; $X_G := X \times EG/G$ Borel quotient; $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$ equivariant cohomology H_G^* -algebra
- *equivariantly formal* $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$ is free over $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$ is proper

Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow W_k^+ is modelled on spectrum of PGL_n -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$ affine Schubert
- \leadsto multiplicity algebra $\mathbb{C}[h_k^{-1}(0)] \cong H^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$ (Hausel–Hitchin 2021)

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
e.g. minuscule

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong \text{SL}_n$, ω_k fundamental

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong \text{SL}_n$, ω_k fundamental \exists universal bundle of algebra structure on $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_{\mathbb{C}}$ along $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$ modelled on C_{ω_k}

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong SL_n$, ω_k fundamental \exists universal bundle of algebra structure on $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$ along $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$ modelled on C_{ω_k}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\ \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow \\ H_{SL_n}^{2*} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] \end{array}$$

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
 associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
 e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong SL_n$, ω_k fundamental \exists universal bundle of algebra structure on $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$ along $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$ modelled on C_{ω_k}

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\
 \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 H_{SL_n}^{2*} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
 associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
 e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong SL_n$, ω_k fundamental \exists universal bundle of algebra structure on $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$ along $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$ modelled on C_{ω_k}

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\
 \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 H_{SL_n}^{2*} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

- construction by applying Kirillov operators to Φ_a

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong SL_n$, ω_k fundamental \exists universal bundle of algebra structure on $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$ along $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$ modelled on C_{ω_k}

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\ \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ H_{SL_n}^{2*} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

- construction by applying Kirillov operators to Φ_a and using cyclicity of C_{ω_k} (Panyushev 2004)

Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep. $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$
 associative $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000) C_μ commutative $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$ weight multiplicity free;
 e.g. minuscule

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For $G \cong SL_n$, ω_k fundamental \exists universal bundle of algebra structure on $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$ along $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$ modelled on C_{ω_k}

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\
 \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 H_{SL_n}^{2*} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

- construction by applying Kirillov operators to Φ_a and using cyclicity of C_{ω_k} (Panyushev 2004)
- $k = 1$ familiar bundle of algebra structure from BNR corr.

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental \rightsquigarrow
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$ sheaf of algebras

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental \rightsquigarrow
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$ sheaf of algebras \rightsquigarrow its mirror $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin
section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\sim \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental $\sim \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$ sheaf of algebras \sim its mirror $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$ should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & &
 \end{array}$$

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental \rightsquigarrow
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$ sheaf of algebras \rightsquigarrow its mirror $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & &
 \end{array}$$

- using (Panyushev 2004)'s $C_{\omega_k} \cong H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental \rightsquigarrow
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$ sheaf of algebras \rightsquigarrow its mirror $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & &
 \end{array}$$

- using (Panyushev 2004)'s $C_{\omega_k} \cong H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$
- generalises - partly conjecturally - to all $\mu \in X_*^+(G)$

Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) $\sim \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$ and $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$ fundamental \sim
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$ sheaf of algebras \sim its mirror $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & &
 \end{array}$$

- using (Panyushev 2004)'s $C_{\omega_k} \cong H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$
- generalises - partly conjecturally - to all $\mu \in X_*^+(G)$
- \sim "classical limit of Geometric Satake equivalence"