

# Enhanced mirror symmetry for Langlands dual Hitchin systems

arxiv:2112.09455

Tamás Hausel

Institute of Science and Technology Austria  
<http://hausel.ist.ac.at>

Algebraic Geometry Section  
International Congress of Mathematicians  
July 2022

Presented at ETH Zurich with the support of:

Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zürich Foundation

**ETH** zürich



*Institute for  
Theoretical Studies*



**Institute of  
Science and  
Technology  
Austria**

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987)

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992)



# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  *Higgs field*

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map  
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system



# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map  
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system  
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map  
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system  
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- $\exists$  hyperkähler metric  $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$  moduli flat  $G$ -bundles

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map  
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system  
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- $\exists$  hyperkähler metric  $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$  moduli flat  $G$ -bundles
- (Hausel-Thaddeus 2003)  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  (Donagi-Pantev 2012)  $\forall G$

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map  
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system  
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- $\exists$  hyperkähler metric  $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$  moduli flat  $G$ -bundles
- (Hausel-Thaddeus 2003)  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  (Donagi-Pantev 2012)  $\forall G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G) & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G^\vee) \\ & \searrow h_G & \swarrow h_{G^\vee} \\ & \mathcal{A}_G \cong \mathcal{A}_{G^\vee} & \end{array}$$

dual special Lagrangian fibrations

# SYZ mirror symmetry for Hitchin systems

- $C$  smooth, projective, complex curve
- $G$  complex reductive group;  $G^\vee$  its Langlands dual  
e.g.  $\mathrm{PGL}_n^\vee \cong \mathrm{SL}_n$
- (Hitchin 1987) (Simpson 1992):  
 $\mathcal{M}_G$  moduli space of semi-stable  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \Phi)$ 
  - $E$  principal  $G$ -bundle
  - $\Phi \in H^0(C; \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_C)$  Higgs field
- $h_G : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{M}_G])$  Hitchin map  
proper, completely integrable Hamiltonian system  
i.e. fibers Lagrangians with respect to symplectic  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}_G)$
- $\exists$  hyperkähler metric  $(\mathcal{M}_G, J) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G)$  moduli flat  $G$ -bundles
- (Hausel-Thaddeus 2003)  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  (Donagi-Pantev 2012)  $\forall G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G) & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{DR}}(G^\vee) \\ & \searrow h_G & \swarrow h_{G^\vee} \\ & \mathcal{A}_G \cong \mathcal{A}_{G^\vee} & \end{array}$$

dual special Lagrangian fibrations  $\Leftrightarrow$  SYZ mirror symmetry



# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:

# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:

$$E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$$



# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree

# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree  
proved for  $n = 2, 3$

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{St}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree  
proved for  $n = 2, 3$   
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of  
coherent sheaves"

# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree  
proved for  $n = 2, 3$   
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013)  $\rightsquigarrow$  proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma

# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree  
proved for  $n = 2, 3$   
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013)  $\rightsquigarrow$  proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) prove topological mirror symmetry for all  $n$  using  $p$ -adic integration

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree  
proved for  $n = 2, 3$   
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013)  $\rightsquigarrow$  proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) prove topological mirror symmetry for all  $n$  using  $p$ -adic integration
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) reprove (Ngô 2010)'s geometric stabilisation with  $p$ -adic integration

# Topological mirror symmetry

- (Hausel, Thaddeus 2003) topological mirror symmetry:  
 $E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}) = E_{st}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_n})$  stringy Hodge numbers agree  
proved for  $n = 2, 3$   
"topological shadow of equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves"
- (Hausel 2013)  $\rightsquigarrow$  proposes attack on topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques on the cohomology of the Hitchin fibration in his proof of Langlands-Shelstead fundamental lemma
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) prove topological mirror symmetry for all  $n$  using  $p$ -adic integration
- (Gröchenig, Wyss, Ziegler 2020) reprove (Ngô 2010)'s geometric stabilisation with  $p$ -adic integration
- (Maulik, Shen 2021) prove topological mirror symmetry using (Ngô 2010)'s techniques

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry



# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D  $N=4$  SUSY Yang-Mills

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong}$

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) \stackrel{KW}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$$

- $\leadsto D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\sim D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\rightsquigarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

generically as Fourier-Mukai transform



# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\rightsquigarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

generically as Fourier-Mukai transform

$$D^b(h_G^{-1}(a)) \stackrel{FM}{\cong} D^b(h_G^{-1}(a)^\vee)$$

# (Classical limit of) Homological mirror symmetry

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007) derive (Kontsevich 1994) homological mirror symmetry from S-duality in 4D N=4 SUSY Yang-Mills

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) & \stackrel{HMS}{\cong} & Fuk(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G^\vee)) & \stackrel{KW}{\cong} & D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee}) \\ \downarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0 & & & & \downarrow \hbar \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) & \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} & D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) & & \end{array}$$

- $\rightsquigarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{DR}(G)) \stackrel{GLC}{\cong} D_{D-mod}^b(Bun_{G^\vee})$

Geometric Langlands Corr. of (Beilinson-Drinfeld, 1995)

- (Donagi–Pantev 2012) explain classical limit of HMS:

$$\mathcal{S} : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \stackrel{CLHMS}{\cong} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$$

generically as Fourier-Mukai transform

$$D^b(h_G^{-1}(a)) \stackrel{FM}{\cong} D^b(h_G^{-1}(a)^\vee) \cong D^b(h_{G^\vee}^{-1}(a))$$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type  $(B,A,A)$  to be mirror to  $(B,B,B)$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type  $(B,A,A)$  to be mirror to  $(B,B,B)$
- $(B,A,A)$  branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type  $(B,A,A)$  to be mirror to  $(B,B,B)$
- $(B,A,A)$  branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
 $(B,B,B)$  branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_G^\vee$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type  $(B,A,A)$  to be mirror to  $(B,B,B)$
- $(B,A,A)$  branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
     $(B,B,B)$  branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_G^\vee$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators



# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type  $(B,A,A)$  to be mirror to  $(B,B,B)$
- $(B,A,A)$  branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
 $(B,B,B)$  branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_G^\vee$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_G^\vee$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_G^\vee$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  *Hecke operator*

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_G^\vee$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^V}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V})$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^V)_c$$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^v}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : \begin{array}{l} D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \\ \mathcal{F} \quad \quad \quad \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c \end{array} \text{ Wilson operator}$$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^v}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c$$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^v}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^v}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^v)_c$$

$$c \in C$$



# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$$

$$c \in C; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee) \text{ dominant cocharacter}$$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)

$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$  Wilson operator (B,B,B)  
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$

$c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;

$\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$$

$c \in \mathbb{C}$ ;  $\mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;

$\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;

$\mathbb{E}^\vee$  universal  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$
- (B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \text{ Hecke operator (B,A,A)}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \text{ Wilson operator (B,B,B)}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$$

$c \in \mathbb{C}$ ;  $\mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;

$\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;

$\mathbb{E}^\vee$  universal  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$

- intertwine  $\mathcal{S}$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)  
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$  Wilson operator (B,B,B)  
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;  
 $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;  
 $\mathbb{E}^\vee$  universal  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$
- intertwine  $\mathcal{S}$ :  $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)  
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$  Wilson operator (B,B,B)  
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathbb{C}; \mu \in X_+^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;
- $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;
- $\mathbb{E}^\vee$  universal  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathbb{C}$
- intertwine  $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)  
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$  Wilson operator (B,B,B)  
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_+^*(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;
- $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;
- $\mathbb{E}^\vee$  universal  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathcal{C}$
- intertwine  $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ :  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c)$

# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)  
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$  Wilson operator (B,B,B)  
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$
- $c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^\vee)$  dominant cocharacter;  
 $\rho_\mu : G^\vee \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;  
 $\mathbb{E}^\vee$  universal  $G^\vee$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee} \times \mathcal{C}$
- intertwine  $\mathcal{S}$ :  $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee})$ :  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^\vee}})) = \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c)$
- "mirror of universal bundle in irreducible representation = Hecke transformed Hitchin section (=  $W_0^+$ )"



# Enhanced mirror symmetry in the classical limit

- (Kapustin-Witten 2007) introduce enhancements
- propose branes of type (B,A,A) to be mirror to (B,B,B)
- (B,A,A) branes: complex Lagrangians on  $(\mathcal{M}_G, \omega)$   
(B,B,B) branes: hyperholomorphic vector bundles in  $\mathcal{M}_{G^V}$
- propose t'Hooft and Wilson operators; in the classical limit:  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_G)$  Hecke operator (B,A,A)  
 $\mathcal{W}_c^\mu : D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V}) \rightarrow D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V})$  Wilson operator (B,B,B)  
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^V)_c$
- $c \in \mathcal{C}; \mu \in X_*^+(G) = X_+^*(G^V)$  dominant cocharacter;  
 $\rho_\mu : G^V \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$   $\mu$ -highest weight representation;  
 $\mathbb{E}^V$  universal  $G^V$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{M}_{G^V} \times \mathcal{C}$
- intertwine  $\mathcal{S}$ :  $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu \circ \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}_c^\mu$
- test for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^V}} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{M}_{G^V})$ :  
 $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^V}})) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G^V}})) = \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^V)_c)$
- "mirror of universal bundle in irreducible representation = Hecke transformed Hitchin section (=  $W_0^+$ )"
- $\mathcal{H}_c^\mu(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+})$  union of Lagrangian upward flows

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\text{PGL}_n}$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi)$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \\ (E, \Phi) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M} \\ (E, \Phi) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$



# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$   
 $(E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi)$  Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$  Hitchin map  
 $(E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi)$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed



# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed  $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathbb{C} \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda \Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed  $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda \omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$  is Lagrangian

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array}$$
 Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathbb{C} \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda \Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed  $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda \omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$  is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed  $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$  is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  *very stable*  $\Leftrightarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$  closed

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \mathrm{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $$h : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n) \\ (E, \Phi) & \mapsto & \det(x - \Phi) \end{array} \quad \text{Hitchin map}$$
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed  $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$  is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  *very stable*  $\Leftrightarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$  closed  $\Leftrightarrow h|_{W_{\mathcal{E}}^+} : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  proper

# Lagrangian upward flows in $\mathcal{M}$

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{\text{PGL}_n} \ni (E, \Phi); \Phi \in \text{End}_0(E) \otimes K_C$
- $h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$   
 $(E, \Phi) \mapsto \det(x - \Phi)$  Hitchin map
- $\mathbb{C}^\times \mathcal{M}$  by  $(E, \Phi) \mapsto (E, \lambda\Phi)$ ; *semiprojective*:
  - 1  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  projective
  - 2  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{E}$  exists for every  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M} \mid \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\}$  *upward flow*
- (Bialynicki-Birula 1973):  $W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$  locally closed  $\cong T_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \mathcal{M}$
- $\lambda^*(\omega) = \lambda\omega \rightsquigarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \subset (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$  is Lagrangian
- $\mathcal{M} = \coprod_{\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}} W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$
- $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  *very stable*  $\Leftrightarrow W_{\mathcal{E}}^+$  closed  $\Leftrightarrow h|_{W_{\mathcal{E}}^+} : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  proper
- Motivating Problem: find coordinates s.t.

$$h_{\mathcal{E}} := h|_{W_{\mathcal{E}}^+} : W_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

becomes explicit!

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$



# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 \cdots 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^x}$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \cdots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \cdots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$



# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$ ,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c))$$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$ ,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & a_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$ ,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$  is very stable.

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$ ,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$  is very stable.

- proof by noticing  $W_k^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}_k}^+ = \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(W_0^+)$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$ ,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} & & & & k \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$  is very stable.

- proof by noticing  $W_k^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}_k}^+ = \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(W_0^+)$   
 $\omega_k$   $k$ th fundamental character of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$

# Examples of very stable Higgs bundles

- $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}$
- $a = (a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A} = H^0(K_C^2) \times \dots \times H^0(K_C^n)$
- $\Phi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & a_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0 K_C$  companion matrix
- $\mathcal{E}_0 := (E_0, \Phi_0) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  canonical uniformising Higgs bundle
- upward flow  $W_0^+ = \{(E_0, \Phi_a)\}_a$  Hitchin section  $\Rightarrow$  very stable
- $c \in C$ ,  $E_k := \mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C^{-1} \dots \oplus K_C^k(c) \oplus \dots \oplus K_C^{1-n}(c)$ ,

$$s_c \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(c)), \Phi_k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : E_k \rightarrow E_k K_C$$

## Theorem (Hausel–Hitchin 2022)

$\mathcal{E}_k := (E_k, \Phi_k)$  is very stable.

- proof by noticing  $W_k^+ := W_{\mathcal{E}_k}^+ = \mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(W_0^+)$   
 $\omega_k$   $k$ th fundamental character of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ , minuscule

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology



# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C})$

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \curvearrowright X$  variety

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \curvearrowright X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra



# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- *equivariantly formal*  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C})$

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- equivariantly formal  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^*$

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- equivariantly formal  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free  
over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- equivariantly formal  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

## Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

*The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow  $W_k^+$  is modelled on spectrum of  $\text{PGL}_n$ -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian*

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- equivariantly formal  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

## Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

*The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow  $W_k^+$  is modelled on spectrum of  $\text{PGL}_n$ -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- equivariantly formal  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

## Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

*The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow  $W_k^+$  is modelled on spectrum of  $\text{PGL}_n$ -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in  $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- equivariantly formal  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

## Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow  $W_k^+$  is modelled on spectrum of  $\text{PGL}_n$ -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in  $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$  affine Schubert

# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- *equivariantly formal*  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

## Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

*The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow  $W_k^+$  is modelled on spectrum of  $\text{PGL}_n$ -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in  $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$  affine Schubert
- $\leadsto$  multiplicity algebra  $\mathbb{C}[h_k^{-1}(0)] \cong H^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$



# Hitchin map as spectrum of equivariant cohomology

- $G$  complex reductive;  $EG \rightarrow BG$  universal principal  $G$ -bundle;  
 $H_G^* := H^*(BG; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]^W$
- $G \subset X$  variety;  $X_G := X \times EG/G$  Borel quotient;  
 $H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C}) := H^*(X_G; \mathbb{C})$  equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*$ -algebra
- *equivariantly formal*  $\Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X; \mathbb{C})_0 \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow H_G^*(X)$  is free over  $H_G^* \Rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}(X; \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_G^{2*}) \cong \mathfrak{t} // W$  is proper

## Theorem (Hausel, 2022)

The Hitchin map on the minuscule upward flow  $W_k^+$  is modelled on spectrum of  $\text{PGL}_n$ -equivariant cohomology of the Grassmannian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\ h_k \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \end{array}$$

- proof by fixed point scheme in  $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}^{\omega_k}$  affine Schubert
- $\leadsto$  multiplicity algebra  $\mathbb{C}[h_k^{-1}(0)] \cong H^{2*}(\text{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$   
(Hausel–Hitchin 2021)

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free



# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
e.g. minuscule

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

*For  $G \cong SL_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental*

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

*For  $G \cong \text{SL}_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental  $\exists$  universal bundle of algebra structure on  $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_{\mathbb{C}}$  along  $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  modelled on  $C_{\omega_k}$*

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For  $G \cong \text{SL}_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental  $\exists$  universal bundle of algebra structure on  $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$  along  $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  modelled on  $C_{\omega_k}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\ \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow \\ H_{\text{SL}_n}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] \end{array}$$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
 associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
 e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For  $G \cong \text{SL}_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental  $\exists$  universal bundle of algebra structure on  $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$  along  $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  modelled on  $C_{\omega_k}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\
 \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 H_{\text{SL}_n}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{\text{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- *Kirillov algebra*:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
 associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
 e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For  $G \cong SL_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental  $\exists$  universal bundle of algebra structure on  $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$  along  $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  modelled on  $C_{\omega_k}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\
 \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 H_{SL_n}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

- construction by applying Kirillov operators to  $\Phi_a$

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For  $G \cong SL_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental  $\exists$  universal bundle of algebra structure on  $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$  along  $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  modelled on  $C_{\omega_k}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\ \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ H_{SL_n}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

- construction by applying Kirillov operators to  $\Phi_a$  and using cyclicity of  $C_{\omega_k}$  (Panyushev 2004)

# Universal bundle of Kirillov algebras

- $X_+^*(G) \ni \mu$ -highest weight rep.  $\rho_\mu : G \rightarrow GL(V^\mu)$
- Kirillov algebra:  
 $C_\mu := (S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{End}(V^\mu))^G \cong \text{Maps}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V^\mu))^G$   
 associative  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong H_G^*$ -algebra
- (Kirillov 2000)  $C_\mu$  commutative  $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\mu$  weight multiplicity free;  
 e.g. minuscule

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

For  $G \cong SL_n$ ,  $\omega_k$  fundamental  $\exists$  universal bundle of algebra structure on  $\rho_{\omega_k}(\mathbb{E})_c \cong \Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c$  along  $W_0^+ \cong \mathcal{A}$  modelled on  $C_{\omega_k}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_{\omega_k} & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) & & \text{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E})_c) \\
 \uparrow & \lrcorner & \uparrow & \sim & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 H_{SL_n}^* & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}] & & \text{Spec}(H_{SL_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}
 \end{array}$$

- construction by applying Kirillov operators to  $\Phi_a$   
 and using cyclicity of  $C_{\omega_k}$  (Panyushev 2004)
- $k = 1$  familiar bundle of algebra structure from BNR corr.



# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$

# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental

# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental  $\rightsquigarrow$   
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$  sheaf of algebras

# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental  $\rightsquigarrow$   
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$  sheaf of algebras  $\rightsquigarrow$  its mirror  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$   
should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin  
section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental  $\rightsquigarrow$   
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$  sheaf of algebras  $\rightsquigarrow$  its mirror  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$   
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin  
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & & 
 \end{array}$$

# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental  $\rightsquigarrow$   
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$  sheaf of algebras  $\rightsquigarrow$  its mirror  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$   
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin  
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & & 
 \end{array}$$

- using (Panyushev 2004)'s  $C_{\omega_k} \cong H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$

# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental  $\rightsquigarrow$   
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$  sheaf of algebras  $\rightsquigarrow$  its mirror  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$   
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin  
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & & 
 \end{array}$$

- using (Panyushev 2004)'s  $C_{\omega_k} \cong H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$
- generalises - partly conjecturally - to all  $\mu \in X_*^+(G)$



# Mirror of equivariant cohomology is Kirillov algebra

- (Kapustin–Witten 2007)  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}(\rho_\mu(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) = \mathcal{H}_c^\mu(W_0^+)$
- when  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_n$  and  $\mu = \omega_k \in X_+^*(\mathrm{SL}_n)$  fundamental  $\rightsquigarrow$   
 $\mathcal{H}_c^{\omega_k}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0^+}) = \mathcal{O}_{W_k^+}$  sheaf of algebras  $\rightsquigarrow$  its mirror  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c$   
 should acquire a bundle of algebra structure along dual Hitchin  
 section from fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transform

## Theorem (Hausel 2022)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(C_{\omega_k}) & \leftarrow & \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee}(\Lambda^k(\mathbb{E}^\vee)_c) & \cong & W_k^+ & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n), \mathbb{C})) \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}) & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^\vee & \cong & \mathcal{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(H_{\mathrm{PGL}_n}^{2*}) \\
 & & & \cong & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowleft & & & 
 \end{array}$$

- using (Panyushev 2004)'s  $C_{\omega_k} \cong H_{\mathrm{SL}_n}^{2*}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n); \mathbb{C})$
- generalises - partly conjecturally - to all  $\mu \in X_*^+(G)$
- $\rightsquigarrow$  "classical limit of Geometric Satake equivalence"